

RESEARCH

Open Access



# The relationship between pregnancy and birth experience with maternal-fetal attachment and mother-child bonding: a descriptive-analytical study

Monireh Moniri<sup>1</sup> , Fatemeh Rashidi<sup>1</sup> , Mojgan Mirghafourvand<sup>2</sup>, Mansour Rezaei<sup>3</sup> and Solmaz Ghanbari-Homaie<sup>4\*</sup>

## Abstract

**Background** Pregnancy and childbirth experience can be important factors for a pleasant relationship between mother and baby. This study assessed the relationship between the pregnancy and birth experience with maternal-fetal attachment (MFA) and mother-child bonding.

**Methods** A descriptive-analytical study was conducted among 228 pregnant women in Tabriz, Iran February 2022 to March 2023. Using cluster random sampling method, we included 228 women with gestational age 28–36 weeks and followed them up until six weeks postpartum. Data were collected in two stages using the following questionnaires: Pregnancy Experience Scale (hassles and uplifts), Maternal-Fetal Attachment Questionnaire (during the third trimester of pregnancy), Postpartum Bonding Questionnaire, and Childbirth Experience Questionnaire (six weeks postpartum). Data were analyzed using Pearson's correlation test and general linear model.

**Results** The mean score of MFA was significantly higher among women with feelings of being uplifted during pregnancy [ $\beta$  (95% CI) = 1.14 (0.87 to 1.41);  $p < 0.001$ ]. However, there was no statistically significant relationship between pregnancy hassles and MFA and mother-child bonding ( $p > 0.05$ ). Also, there was no statistically significant relationship between childbirth experience and mother-child bonding ( $p > 0.05$ ).

**Conclusion** According to the results of this study, pregnancy uplifts have a positive role in improving MFA. Therefore, it is recommended to plan interventions to make pregnancy period a pleasant experience for mothers.

**Keywords** Attachment, Bonding, Postpartum, Pregnancy, Birth satisfaction, Maternal-fetal relations

\*Correspondence:

Solmaz Ghanbari-Homaie  
homayisolmaz@gmail.com

<sup>1</sup>Student Research Committee, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Social Determinants of Health Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

<sup>3</sup>Department of Anesthesiology, School of Medicine, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

<sup>4</sup>Department of Midwifery, Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Shariati Street, P.O. Box: 51745-347, 513897977 Tabriz, Iran



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

## Background

Maternal-fetal attachment (MFA) is defined as “the degree to which women actively participate in behaviors that reflect a sense of connection and interaction with their unborn child”. It is the manifestation of care and concern towards the fetus, expressed through various means such as affection, emotions, perceptions, concerns, and expectations [1]. The next stage is called mother-child bonding, which means the feelings that the mother experiences about her new baby [2]. It is determined by the mother’s behaviors, including looking, smiling, touching, and talking with the child, which starts growing almost before pregnancy [3].

The mother-child attachment is the most important relationship in every person’s life, which is formed from the embryonic period and affects the entire subsequent stages of a person’s life, such as social, emotional, and cognitive development, as well as future life. Therefore, it is essential to understand the nature of MFA and bonding and their predictors [4]. The satisfactory mother and child bonding is crucial for fostering positive socio-emotional development in children because it can influence various aspects of their personality, such as socialization skills, self-confidence, honesty, and independence [2]. Some factors such as mother’s mental health, social support, marital satisfaction [5, 6], pregnancy experience [6], and fear of childbirth [7] have been proposed as predictors of MFA.

The experience of pregnancy has been proposed as one of the factors related to MFA. The positive experience of the perinatal period is defined as maintaining the optimal physical, social, and cultural condition and the health of the mother and baby during pregnancy, along with performing a safe birth that creates the foundations of a healthy motherhood [8]. The negative experience of pregnancy due to the decrease in sleep quality, physical problems [9], depression, and anxiety during pregnancy might lead to problems in the adjustment of mother and child after birth [4].

Problems related to mental health [10], adaptation to mother’s role [11], kind of birth, and childbirth experience have also been proposed as predictors of mother-child bonding [12]. Childbirth experience is a complex multidimensional and mental experience, which is defined by safe birth and unique physical and cognitive processes experienced by women during labour and birth. One of the positive consequences of childbirth experience is a positive attitude towards motherhood and a safe mother-child bonding [13, 14]. Skin-to-skin contact between mother and baby immediately after birth and early breastfeeding of the baby have a significant effect on creating a positive childbirth experience; it has also been reported that such measurements can strengthen mother-child bonding [12, 15].

There is limited data about the relationship between pregnancy and childbirth experience with MFA and mother-child bonding. To fill this gap, this study aimed to determine the relationship between pregnancy and childbirth experiences with MFA and mother-child bonding.

## Methods

### Study design and participants

This descriptive-analytical study was conducted on 228 pregnant women in Tabriz, Iran from February 2022 to March 2023. All pregnant women (singleton) with gestational age 28–36 weeks were included in the study. The exclusion criteria were as follows: history of cesarean section, giving birth for more than three times, history of depression or postpartum depression, use of antidepressants, known abnormality in the fetus, and the occurrence of a stressful event such as divorce, death, or diagnosis of an incurable disease for a first-grade family member during the last three months.

### Sample size

The sample size was calculated as 152 women ( $SD=5.87$ ,  $\alpha=0.05$ ,  $d=0.05$ ) around the mean (18.67) of pregnancy experience through  $n=Z_{1-\alpha/2}^2 \cdot sd^2/d^2$  [16]. Considering the effect size due to the cluster sampling method, the calculated sample size was multiplied by 1.5 (final sample size=228).

### Sampling

The sampling method was random cluster sampling. Initially, we prepared a comprehensive list of all health centers in Tabriz, Iran ( $n=82$ ). Then, we randomly selected a quarter of these centers. The researchers obtained the list of all pregnant women with gestational age 28–36 weeks. Then, the eligible women in each center were selected using simple random sampling. A written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to the study, and the questionnaires were completed in two stages: [1] during third trimester of pregnancy through face-to-face interview: [sociodemographic and obstetric characteristics questionnaire, The Pregnancy Experience Scale, and Maternal-Fetal Attachment Questionnaire]; [2] six weeks postpartum: [Postpartum Bonding Questionnaire and Childbirth Experience Questionnaire-2]. The researchers followed up the participants once a month by making a phone call. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic and the reluctance of some women to visit in person, mothers who had access to the internet and social networks completed the questionnaires online.

### Data collection tools

To collect data, sociodemographic and obstetric characteristics questionnaire, Pregnancy Experience Questionnaire (PES), Maternal-Fetal Attachment Questionnaire

(MFAQ), Childbirth Experience 2.0 (CEQ 2.0), and Postpartum Bonding Questionnaire (PBQ) were used.

### **Sociodemographic and obstetric characteristics questionnaire**

The sociodemographic and obstetric questionnaire consisted of different items such as age, body mass index (BMI), husband's age, income status, educational status, husband's education, job, and husband's job, as well as obstetrical characteristics, including gestational age, number of pregnancies, number of abortions, pregnancy type (wanted or unwanted pregnancy), type of previous birth, place of birth, satisfaction with previous birth, having complications during pregnancy, having postpartum complication, having skin to skin contact, anthropometric index of the baby, and baby's sex. The content validity of this questionnaire was assessed and confirmed by an expert panel, including ten experts in the fields of midwifery, reproductive health, obstetrics, and gynecology.

### **The pregnancy experience scale (PES)**

The short version of the PES has 20 items with two subscales: hassles (feelings of discomfort) and uplifts (feelings of happiness) during pregnancy. In this scale, a four-point Likert scale (from "not at all" [score 0] to "very much" [score 3]) is assigned to each item. A higher score indicates more discomfort or happiness in hassles and uplifts subscales, respectively. The reliability coefficient of the whole English version has been reported 0.80 [17]. The psychometrics properties of the Persian version were evaluated in Iran by Ebadi et al. Cronbach's alpha of the whole scale, uplifts, and hassles subscales were reported as 0.71, 0.77, and 0.67, respectively [18].

### **Maternal-fetal attachment questionnaire (MFAQ)**

This questionnaire consists of 24 questions divided into five subscales: "interaction with the fetus", "differentiation of self from fetus", "role taking", "attributing characteristics to the fetus", and "giving of self". Scoring is based on a five-point Likert scale and the options include "yes-always", "yes-sometimes", "not sure", "no-rarely", and "no-never". The scoring of all questions is from 1 to 5 and in the case of question 20, it is reversed. A higher score indicates better attachment [19]. The validity and reliability of MFAQ in Iran has been confirmed by Abbasi et al. and Cronbach's alpha coefficient has been reported as 0.80 [20].

### **Postpartum bonding questionnaire (PBQ)**

This questionnaire contains 25 questions and expresses the mother's feelings and attitude towards the baby. PBQ has four subscales, which subscale 1 reflects impaired bonding (12 items; score range 0 to 60), subscale 2 reflects rejection and anger (7 items; 0 to 35), subscale 3

reflects anxiety about care (4 items; 0 to 20), and subscale 4 is the risk of child abuse (2 items; 0 to 10). The mother expresses her feeling on a 6-point Likert scale (score 0 to 5). Higher scores indicate a more unfavorable relationship [21]. The validation of the Persian version was done by Aflakseir and Jamali, and Cronbach's alpha coefficient for the components of defective bonding, rejection and anger, care anxiety, and child abuse risk were reported as 0.52, 0.67, 0.70, and 0.74, respectively [22].

### **Childbirth experience questionnaire 2.0 (CEQ 2.0)**

The CEQ [23] has 23 questions related to four subscales ("own capacity", "professional support", "perceived safety", and "participation"). The responses include "completely agree" (score 1), "often agree" (score 2), "often disagree" (score 3), and "completely disagree" (score 4). A higher average score indicates more positive experience of childbirth. The validation of the Persian version of CEQ 2.0 has been confirmed by Ghanbari et al. The internal consistency and reliability of the tool have been reported as 0.93 and 0.97, respectively [24].

### **Data analysis**

The data were analyzed using the SPSS software, Version 24.0 (IBM Inc., Armonk, NY, USA). We used descriptive statistics for reporting quantitative and qualitative variables, including mean (standard deviation) and frequency (percent). To determine the relationship between pregnancy and birth experience with MFA and mother-child bonding in univariate analysis, Pearson's correlation coefficient (normal distribution) and Spearman's correlation coefficient (non-normal distribution) tests were used. In the multivariate analysis, general linear model was used by adjusting socio-demographic and obstetrics characteristics (all variables with  $p < 0.1$  were entered in the model). A  $p$ -value less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

### **Results**

Out of a total of 228 women included in the study, four participants were excluded due to non-response during the postpartum period. Almost half of the women were primiparous and most of them had no history of abortion or stillbirth. Almost three quarters of women stated that their pregnancy was desired (Table 1).

Based on the results of the Pearson's correlation test, there was no statistically significant relationship between feelings of being hassled during pregnancy and MFA. ( $r = -0.03$ ,  $p = 0.597$ ). However, there was a statistically significant relationship between feelings of being uplifted during pregnancy and MFA ( $r = 0.50$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) (Table 2). According to the results of the Spearman's test, there was no statistically significant relationship between pregnancy experiences (hassles and uplifts) ( $r = 0.01$ ,  $p = 0.887$ )

**Table 1** Socio-demographic and obstetric characteristics of pregnant women (n = 228)

| Variable                                                           | N (%)      | Variable                                          | N (%)          |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| <b>Age, (years), Mean (SD)</b>                                     | 29.0 (5.9) | <b>Planned pregnancy</b>                          |                |
| <b>Husband age, (years), Mean (SD)</b>                             | 33.9 (5.7) | Yes                                               | 159 (69.7)     |
| <b>Education</b>                                                   |            | No                                                | 69 (30.3)      |
| Under diploma                                                      | 80 (35.1)  | <b>Wanted sex of baby</b>                         |                |
| Diploma                                                            | 91 (39.1)  | Yes                                               | 180 (78.9)     |
| Academic                                                           | 57 (25.0)  | No                                                | 48 (21.1)      |
| <b>Husband education</b>                                           |            | <b>Satisfaction with previous birth</b>           |                |
| Under diploma                                                      | 86 (37.7)  | Yes                                               | 78 (67.8)      |
| Diploma                                                            | 87 (38.2)  | No                                                | 37 (32.2)      |
| Academic                                                           | 55 (24.1)  | <b>Complications during pregnancy<sup>a</sup></b> |                |
| <b>Job</b>                                                         |            | Yes                                               | 53 (23.2)      |
| Housewife                                                          | 215 (94.3) | No                                                | 175 (76.8)     |
| Employed                                                           | 13 (5.7)   | <b>Type of birth</b>                              |                |
| <b>Husband job</b>                                                 |            | Vaginal                                           | 99 (43.4)      |
| Employed                                                           | 37 (16.2)  | Emergency cesarean section                        | 55 (24.1)      |
| Worker                                                             | 48 (21.1)  | Elective cesarean section                         | 74 (32.5)      |
| Self-employed                                                      | 143 (62.7) | <b>Place of birth</b>                             |                |
| <b>Income satisfaction</b>                                         |            | Teaching                                          | 63 (28.1)      |
| Not enough                                                         | 51 (22.4)  | Organizational                                    | 65 (29.0)      |
| Relatively enough                                                  | 154 (67.5) | Private                                           | 96 (42.9)      |
| Completely enough                                                  | 23 (10.1)  | <b>Postpartum complication<sup>b</sup></b>        |                |
| <b>Gestational age at entering in the study (Weeks), Mean (SD)</b> | 31.9 (2.7) | Yes                                               | 29 (12.7)      |
| <b>BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>), Mean (SD)</b>                           | 24.9 (4.4) | No                                                | 199 (87.3)     |
| <b>Parity</b>                                                      |            | <b>Skin to skin contact</b>                       |                |
| 1                                                                  | 99 (43.4)  | Yes                                               | 190 (84.8)     |
| 2                                                                  | 84 (36.8)  | No                                                | 34 (15.2)      |
| 3                                                                  | 45 (19.7)  | <b>Baby weight (gr), Mean (SD)</b>                | 3119.4 (530.2) |
| <b>History of abortion</b>                                         |            | <b>Baby height (cm), Mean (SD)</b>                | 50.5 (3.0)     |
| Yes                                                                | 41 (18.0)  | <b>Baby head (cm), Mean (SD)</b>                  | 34.0 (1.8)     |
| No                                                                 | 187 (82.0) | <b>Baby sex</b>                                   |                |
| <b>History of stillbirth</b>                                       |            | Boy                                               | 122 (53.5)     |
| Yes                                                                | 3 (1.3)    | Girl                                              | 102 (44.7)     |
| No                                                                 | 225 (98.7) |                                                   |                |
| <b>Wanted pregnancy</b>                                            |            |                                                   |                |
| Yes                                                                | 167 (73.2) |                                                   |                |
| No                                                                 | 61 (26.8)  |                                                   |                |

<sup>a</sup> Preeclampsia, Diabetes mellitus; <sup>b</sup> Infection, Hemorrhage, Thrombosis

and postpartum bonding ( $r = -0.03$ ,  $p = 0.603$ ). Also, there was no statistically significant relationship between childbirth experience and postpartum bonding ( $r = -0.13$ ,  $p = 0.100$ ) and between MFA and postpartum bonding ( $r = -0.05$ ,  $p = 0.425$ ) (Table 2).

Based on the results of univariate tests, there was statistically a significant relationship between the variables of spouse's age, gestational age, parity, wanted and planned pregnancy, and wanted sex of baby with MFA ( $p < 0.05$ ). Also, there was a statistically significant relationship between the variables of income status, gestational age, and kind of birth with postpartum bonding ( $p < 0.05$ ) (Table 3).

After adjusting for possible confounding variables, the mean score of MFA was significantly higher among women with feelings of being uplifted during pregnancy [ $\beta$  (95% CI) = 1.14 (0.87 to 1.41);  $p < 0.001$ ]. MFA score was lower in women with second birth compared to those with third birth [ $\beta = -3.41$  (-6.81 to -0.02);  $p = 0.049$ ]. MFA score was lower in women with unwanted sex of fetus compared to those with wanted sex of fetus [ $\beta = -3.13$  (-6.19 to -0.07);  $p = 0.045$ ] (Table 4).

After adjusting for potential confounding variables, we witnessed that women who reported insufficient household income for covering living expenses had a more unfavorable postpartum bonding compared to those who were satisfied with their income [ $\beta = 5.61$  (1.13 to 10.09);  $p = 0.014$ ] (Table 5).

## Discussion

The present study was conducted with the aim of determining the relationship between pregnancy and birth experience with MFA and postpartum bonding.

According to our results, while there was a direct statistical relationship between feelings of being uplifted during pregnancy and MFA, there was no relationship between feelings of being hassled and MFA. In confirmation of this finding, the results of a longitudinal study in the United States on 158 pregnant women showed a positive relationship between pregnancy uplifts and MFA. However, there was no significant relationship between hassles and MFA [25]. In contrast, the results of a study on 186 pregnant women in Colombia reported a negative association between pregnancy experience, especially hassles, and MFA [26]. Also, the findings of a study in Denmark reported a reverse relationship between pregnancy experience and MFA; in this study, there were women from African-American, Latin, and Egyptian races, but not Asians [27]. Other studies reported that negative emotions during pregnancy were related to poor maternal-infant attachment. In these studies, depression and anxiety scales were used to measure the presence of negative emotions in mothers [5, 28]. However, in our study, we used the PEQ to measure the negative feelings

**Table 2** Relationship of pregnancy experience with maternal-fetal attachment and postpartum bonding (n = 228) \*

| Variables                          | Mean (SD)  | Uplifts<br>r (p-value) ** | Hassles<br>r (p-value) ** | Childbirth Experience<br>r (p-value) ** | Postpartum Bonding<br>r (p-value) ** |
|------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Postpartum Bonding (Range = 0–125) | 19.5 (7.6) | -0.03 (0.603)             | 0.01 (0.887)              | -0.13 (0.100)                           | -                                    |
| Maternal-Fetal Attachment (24–120) | 91 (10.3)  | 0.50 (< 0.001)            | -0.03 (0.597)             | -                                       | -0.05 (0.425)                        |
| Pregnancy Experience               | -          | -                         | -                         | -                                       | -                                    |
| Uplifts (0–30)                     | 22.2 (4.5) | -                         | -                         | -                                       | -                                    |
| Hassles (0–30)                     | 11.1 (5.2) | -                         | -                         | -                                       | -                                    |
| Childbirth Experience (1–4)        | 2.8 (0.4)  | -                         | -                         | -                                       | -                                    |

\* Sample size for postpartum bonding and childbirth experience was 224 women; \*\* Pearson Correlation test was done except for postpartum bonding

experienced during pregnancy, which could be a potential factor for the inconsistency between this study and the above-mentioned studies. The presence of women from diverse racial backgrounds, as well as difference in inclusion and exclusion criteria and sample size could also account for the observed inconsistencies.

In present study, there was no significant relationship between pregnancy experience and postpartum bonding. One study investigated the relationship between anxiety disorders in pregnancy and the quality of mother-baby bonding among 454 pregnant women in London. The results indicated that pregnancy anxiety was associated with higher perceived bonding disorder; however, there was no association between pregnancy anxiety and poor quality of mother-infant interaction. The study also revealed that higher levels of depressive symptoms were associated with lower maternal sensitivity [29]. Also, a longitudinal study in the United States reported a significant relationship between woman's emotional appraisal of pregnancy and postpartum bonding [25]. Another longitudinal study conducted in Italy reported a significant inverse relationship between stressful life events experienced during pregnancy and postpartum bonding [30]. The inconsistency in findings may be attributed to differences in scales used and inclusion criteria employed in the studies. Also, it is possible that mother-child bonding is more influenced by postpartum events, such as postpartum depression, anxiety, and social support, rather than events occurring during pregnancy.

There was no statistically significant relationship between childbirth experience and postpartum bonding in our study. This finding was inconsistent with a longitudinal prospective cohort study at the University of Dresden, Germany, which reported a positive childbirth experience had an effect on the strengthening of mother-child bonding [12]. According to a Missouri review, mothers who were stressed during labour had unsatisfactory communication with their babies after birth [31]. In a prospective cohort study in Germany, the mother's negative experiences during childbirth (such as fear of childbirth, stress, and anxiety) led to creating postpartum bonding disorders [32]. The probable reasons for the inconsistency of the results can be due to the following

items: different scales, racial differences, differences in demographic and obstetrics characteristics, sample size, and the measurement of depression and anxiety in pregnancy. In the present study, the occurrence of cesarean birth was unavoidable due to the study design and inclusion of women during pregnancy. The type of birth has been identified as one of the potential factors that can influence postpartum bonding [33]. In our study, there was a significant relationship between the type of birth and postpartum bonding. Although the effect of birth type on bonding was adjusted using multivariate analysis, it can still affect the results of this study as a confounding factor.

In our study, there was no statistically significant relationship between MFA and postpartum bonding. The results of a review study indicated a weak relationship between MFA and mother-child bonding [5]. A prospective cohort study in Italy reported a positive significant relationship between maternal attachment and mother-child bonding [34]. A longitudinal study in Italy reported a direct relationship between MFA (with the mean attachment score of 78.01) during pregnancy with mother-child bonding up to four months following birth [35]. Thus, other variables may be considered as effective mediating factors in the relationship between attachment and bonding.

In the present study, the mean score of MFA was significantly higher among women with higher gestational age. In confirming this finding, a cross-sectional study in Italy showed a significant direct relationship between gestational age and MFA [36]. In a longitudinal study in the United States, maternal-infant attachment score increased with increasing gestational age [25]. The results of this study showed multiparity as a factor to reduce MFA. Consistent with the finding of present study, two studies conducted in India [37] and Turkey [38] also reported a statistically significant association between MFA and parity. In a descriptive-comparative study in Iran, the attachment score decreased with increasing parity [39].

In the current study, wanted sex of baby was associated with higher score of MFA. According to the findings of a descriptive study in Egypt [40], the favorability of the



**Table 3** Relationship of socio-demographic and obstetric characteristics with maternal-fetal attachment and postpartum bonding (n = 228)

| Variable                                          | Maternal-Fetal Attachment<br>(n = 228) |       | Postpartum Bonding<br>(n = 224) |       |
|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------|-------|
|                                                   | Mean (SD)                              | P     | Mean (SD)                       | p     |
| <b>Age (years), Mean (SD)</b>                     | 0.08                                   | 0.230 | 0.01                            | 0.843 |
| <b>Husband age (years), Mean (SD)</b>             | 0.13                                   | 0.036 | 0.04                            | 0.535 |
| <b>Education</b>                                  |                                        | 0.118 |                                 | 0.282 |
| Under diploma                                     | 89.78 (11.64)                          |       | 18.44 (8.88)                    |       |
| Diploma                                           | 92.49 (9.27)                           |       | 19.92 (7.47)                    |       |
| Academic                                          | 91.15 (9.91)                           |       | 20.42 (7.95)                    |       |
| <b>Husband Education</b>                          |                                        | 0.408 |                                 | 0.076 |
| Under diploma                                     | 89.87 (11.93)                          |       | 19.11 (8.54)                    |       |
| Diploma                                           | 91.43 (10.01)                          |       | 18.64 (7.24)                    |       |
| Academic                                          | 92.10 (8.05)                           |       | 21.54 (6.68)                    |       |
| <b>Job</b>                                        |                                        | 0.765 |                                 | 0.825 |
| Housewife                                         | 90.95 (10.44)                          |       | 19.56 (7.79)                    |       |
| Employed                                          | 91.48 (9.34)                           |       | 19.07 (6.04)                    |       |
| <b>Husband job</b>                                |                                        | 0.287 |                                 | 0.627 |
| Employed                                          | 92.91 (8.66)                           |       | 20.10 (7.02)                    |       |
| Worker                                            | 91.93 (12.75)                          |       | 18.62 (8.87)                    |       |
| Self-employed                                     | 90.20 (9.85)                           |       | 19.69 (7.45)                    |       |
| <b>Income satisfaction</b>                        |                                        | 0.129 |                                 | 0.001 |
| Not enough                                        | 55.56 (10.51)                          |       | 23.07 (6.74)                    |       |
| Relatively enough                                 | 52.19 (10.40)                          |       | 18.68 (7.71)                    |       |
| Completely enough                                 | 52.60 (9.25)                           |       | 17.21 (7.32)                    |       |
| <b>Gestational age (Weeks), Mean (SD)</b>         | -0.16                                  | 0.013 | -0.18                           | 0.006 |
| <b>BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>), Mean (SD)</b>          | 0.05                                   | 0.435 | -                               | -     |
| <b>Parity</b>                                     |                                        | 0.018 |                                 | 0.831 |
| 1                                                 | 92.41 (9.58)                           |       | 19.86 (7.80)                    |       |
| 2                                                 | 91.11 (1.04)                           |       | 19.39 (7.42)                    |       |
| 3 or more                                         | 87.33 (11.85)                          |       | 19.06 (8.08)                    |       |
| <b>History of abortion</b>                        |                                        | 0.331 |                                 | 0.576 |
| Yes                                               | 92.43 (11.73)                          |       | 18.92 (7.68)                    |       |
| No                                                | 90.69 (10.05)                          |       | 19.67 (7.71)                    |       |
| <b>History of stillbirth</b>                      |                                        | 0.739 |                                 | 0.064 |
| Yes                                               | 93.00 (13.74)                          |       | 9.50 (0.70)                     |       |
| No                                                | 90.98 (10.35)                          |       | 19.62 (7.66)                    |       |
| <b>Wanted pregnancy</b>                           |                                        | 0.024 |                                 | 0.270 |
| Yes                                               | 91.94 (10.18)                          |       | 19.88 (8.00)                    |       |
| No                                                | 88.44 (10.51)                          |       | 18.60 (6.77)                    |       |
| <b>Planned pregnancy</b>                          |                                        | 0.003 |                                 | 0.221 |
| Yes                                               | 92.35 (10.12)                          |       | 19.94 (6.60)                    |       |
| No                                                | 87.91 (10.35)                          |       | 19.94 (8.09)                    |       |
| <b>Wanted sex of baby</b>                         |                                        | 0.002 |                                 | 0.720 |
| Yes                                               | 92.10 (10.78)                          |       | 19.44 (7.90)                    |       |
| No                                                | 86.91 (7.42)                           |       | 19.90 (6.83)                    |       |
| <b>Satisfaction with pervious birth</b>           |                                        | 0.172 |                                 | 0.774 |
| Yes                                               | 88.00 (9.41)                           |       | 19.12 (8.21)                    |       |
| No                                                | 91.00 (13.62)                          |       | 19.56 (6.70)                    |       |
| <b>Complications during pregnancy<sup>a</sup></b> |                                        | 0.689 |                                 | 0.067 |
| Yes                                               | 91.50 (9.20)                           |       | 21.25 (8.90)                    |       |
| No                                                | 90.85 (10.72)                          |       | 19.01 (7.23)                    |       |
| <b>Type of birth</b>                              |                                        | -     |                                 | 0.029 |
| Vaginal                                           |                                        |       | 18.08 (7.72)                    |       |
| Emergency cesarean section                        |                                        |       | 19.94 (6.60)                    |       |

**Table 3** (continued)

| Variable                                   | Maternal-Fetal Attachment<br>(n = 228) |   | Postpartum Bonding<br>(n = 224) |       |
|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|-------|
|                                            | Mean (SD)                              | P | Mean (SD)                       | p     |
| Elective cesarean section                  |                                        |   | 21.20 (8.12)                    |       |
| <b>Postpartum complication<sup>b</sup></b> |                                        | - |                                 | 0.402 |
| Yes                                        |                                        |   | 20.65 (7.99)                    |       |
| No                                         |                                        |   | 19.36 (7.65)                    |       |
| <b>Skin to skin contact</b>                |                                        | - |                                 | 0.382 |
| Yes                                        |                                        |   | 19.72 (7.76)                    |       |
| No                                         |                                        |   | 18.47 (7.27)                    |       |
| <b>Baby sex</b>                            |                                        | - |                                 | 0.360 |
| Boy                                        |                                        |   | 19.96 (8.43)                    |       |
| Girl                                       |                                        |   | 19.01 (6.70)                    |       |
| <b>Baby weight</b>                         |                                        | - | 0.00                            | 0.907 |

<sup>a</sup> Preeclampsia, Diabetes mellitus; <sup>b</sup> Infection, Hemorrhage, Thrombosis

**Table 4** Relationship of maternal-fetal attachment with pregnancy and birth experience (n = 228)

| Variable                                  | Maternal-Fetal Attachment |         |
|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
|                                           | $\beta$ (95% CI)          | P*      |
| <b>Uplifts</b>                            | 1.14 (0.87 to 1.41)       | < 0.001 |
| <b>Hassles</b>                            | 0.04 (-0.17 to 0.26)      | 0.691   |
| <b>Husband age (Years), Mean (SD)</b>     | -0.08 (-0.31 to 0.14)     | 0.476   |
| <b>Gestational age (Weeks), Mean (SD)</b> | 0.74 (0.32 to 1.15)       | < 0.001 |
| <b>Parity (Reference: 3)</b>              |                           |         |
| 1                                         | 0.97 (-2.74 to 4.68)      | 0.607   |
| 2                                         | -3.41 (-6.81 to -0.02)    | 0.049   |
| <b>Wanted pregnancy (Ref: Yes)</b>        |                           |         |
| No                                        | 0.17 (-6.47 to 6.81)      | 0.959   |
| <b>Planned pregnancy (Ref: Yes)</b>       |                           |         |
| No                                        | -1.06 (-7.67 to 5.55)     | 0.752   |
| <b>Wanted sex of baby (Ref: Yes)</b>      |                           |         |
| No                                        | -3.13 (-6.19 to -0.07)    | 0.045   |
| <b>R<sup>2</sup></b>                      | 0.329                     |         |

\*General Linear Model

**Table 5** Relationship of mother-child bonding with pregnancy and birth experience (n = 228)

| Variable                                            | Postpartum Bonding     |       |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------|
|                                                     | $\beta$ (95% CI)       | P*    |
| <b>Uplifts</b>                                      | -0.096 (-0.39 to 0.20) | 0.533 |
| <b>Hassles</b>                                      | -0.06 (-0.30 to 0.16)  | 0.573 |
| <b>Childbirth experience</b>                        | -1.24 (-4.27 to 1.79)  | 0.419 |
| <b>Gestational age (Weeks), Mean (SD)</b>           | -0.39 (-0.84 to 0.05)  | 0.085 |
| <b>Income satisfaction (Ref: Completely enough)</b> |                        |       |
| Not enough                                          | 5.61 (1.13 to 10.09)   | 0.014 |
| Relatively enough                                   | -0.04 (-3.83 to 3.74)  | 0.981 |
| <b>Complication during pregnancy (Ref: Yes)</b>     |                        |       |
| No                                                  | -1.78 (-4.65 to 1.09)  | 0.223 |
| <b>Type of birth (Ref: C-section)</b>               |                        |       |
| Vaginal                                             | -1.02 (-3.45 to 1.39)  | 0.404 |
| <b>R<sup>2</sup></b>                                | 0.179                  |       |

\*General Linear Model

gender of the fetus was reported to be an effective factor in strengthening the mother's attachment. Also, in a study in turkey [41] on mother-fetus attachment, wanted sex of baby was introduced as one of the predictive factors. In the present study, insufficient income level was associated with poor mother-child attachment. Findings from a cross-sectional study in Slovenia showed that women with low income were at risk of mental health problems; so, low-income mothers were more likely to neglect their child's emotional needs [42].

The main strengths of the study included, using standard scales to measure the variables, and controlling demographic confounders. Also, to adjust the effect of the confounders, multivariate general linear model was used. Nonetheless, the limitations of the study include the following: lack of assessment of anxiety and depression during pregnancy; participation in the prenatal class; a wide range of gestational age (8-week) at the time of recruiting women in the study, which can affect MFA and pregnancy experience; and not measuring social support. Considering the limited number of studies in this field, it is recommended to conduct further studies and provide prenatal counseling by health personnel to make pregnancy pleasant.

## Conclusion

The results of this study showed the positive relationship between feelings of being uplifted during pregnancy and MFA. Hence, implementation of intervention programs according to the mother's needs to increase happiness and peace may have a positive effect on MFA.

## Abbreviations

|         |                                         |
|---------|-----------------------------------------|
| PES     | Pregnancy Experience Questionnaire      |
| MFAQ    | Maternal-Fetal Attachment Questionnaire |
| CEQ 2.0 | Childbirth Experience 2.0               |
| PBQ     | Postpartum Bonding Questionnaire        |

## Acknowledgements

We thank all participants in the study for their sincere collaboration. We also acknowledge the [Clinical Research Development Unit of Taleghani Hospital, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran](#) for scientific support and Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran for financial support (Code: 68516).

## Author contributions

MM, MM and SGH contributed to the design of the study. MM, MM and SGH contributed to the implementation and analysis. MM, FR, SGH, MR have written the first draft of this manuscript and all authors have critically read the text and contributed with inputs and revisions, and all authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Funding

The study was supported by Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran (Code: 68516).

## Data Availability

The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are not publicly available due to the limitations of ethical approval involving the patient data and anonymity but are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable requests.

## Declarations

### Ethics approval and consent of participants

All methods were carried out in accordance with the Helsinki declaration. The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran (IR.TBZMED.REC.1400.909). In order to maintain the confidentiality of the information, the coding method of the questionnaire was used without mentioning the name and surname. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

### Competing interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Received: 3 August 2023 / Accepted: 30 November 2023

Published online: 05 December 2023

## References

- Da Rosa KM, Scholl CC, Ferreira LA, Trettim JP, da Cunha GK, Rubin BB, et al. Maternal-fetal attachment and perceived parental bonds of pregnant women. *Early Hum Dev*. 2021;154:105310.
- Tichelman E, Westerneng M, Witteveen AB, Van Baar AL, Van Der Horst HE, De Jonge A, et al. Correlates of prenatal and postnatal mother-to-infant bonding quality: a systematic review. *PLoS ONE*. 2019;14(9):e0222998.
- Mellow T. Bonding experiences in mothers of infants with severe congenital Heart Disease. Royal Holloway, University of London; 2014.
- Daglar G, Nur N. Level of mother-baby bonding and influencing factors during pregnancy and postpartum period. *Psychiatria Danubina*. 2018;30(4):433–40.
- McNamara J, Townsend ML, Herbert JS. A systemic review of maternal wellbeing and its relationship with maternal fetal attachment and early postpartum bonding. *PLoS ONE*. 2019;14(7):e0220032.
- Osnes RS, Eberhard-Gran M, Follestad T, Kallestad H, Morken G, Roaldset JO. Mid-pregnancy insomnia is associated with concurrent and postpartum maternal anxiety and obsessive-compulsive symptoms: a prospective cohort study. *J Affect Disord*. 2020;266:319–26.
- Khwepeya M, Lee GT, Chen S-R, Kuo S-Y. Childbirth fear and related factors among pregnant and postpartum women in Malawi. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2018;18:1–10.
- World Health Organisation. WHO recommendations on antenatal care for a positive pregnancy experience. World Health Organization; 2016. Available at: <https://www.who.int/publications/item/WHO-RHR-18.02>.
- Tsai S-Y, Lee P-L, Lin J-W, Lee C-N. Cross-sectional and longitudinal associations between sleep and health-related quality of life in pregnant women: a prospective observational study. *Int J Nurs Stud*. 2016;56:45–53.
- Rossen L, Hutchinson D, Wilson J, Burns L, Olsson A, Allsop C. Predictors of postnatal mother-infant bonding: the role of antenatal bonding, maternal substance use and mental health. *Arch Women Ment Health*. 2016;19:609–22.
- Ahmadpour P, Jahanfar S, Hamed Bieyabani M, Mirghafourvand M. Predictors of maternal role adaptation in Iranian women: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2022;22(1):367.
- Döblin S, Seefeld L, Weise V, Kopp M, Knappe S, Asselmann E, Martini J, Garthus-Niegel S. The impact of mode of delivery on parent-infant-bonding and the mediating role of birth experience: a comparison of mothers and fathers within the longitudinal cohort study DREAM. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2023;23(1):285.
- McKelvin G, Thomson G, Downe S. The Childbirth experience: a systematic review of predictors and outcomes. *Women Birth*. 2021;34(5):407–16.
- Hosseini Tabaghdehi M, Keramat A, Kolahdozan S, Shahhosseini Z, Moosazadeh M, Motaghi Z. Positive Childbirth experience: a qualitative study. *Nurs Open*. 2020;7(4):1233–8.
- Brubaker LH, Paul IM, Repke JT, Kjerulf KH. Early maternal-newborn contact and positive birth experience. *Birth*. 2019;46(1):42–50.
- Khalife-Ghaderi F, Amiri-Farahani L, Haghani S, Hasanpoor-Azghady SB. Examining the experience of Childbirth and its predictors among women who have recently given birth. *Nurs Open*. 2021;8(1):63–71.
- DiPietro JA, Christensen AL, Costigan KA. The pregnancy experience scale—brief version. *J Psychosom Obstet Gynecol*. 2008;29(4):262–7.
- Ebadi A, Kariman N, Hajifoghaha M. Psychometric properties and Validation of the Persian translation of the pregnancy experience scale—brief version (PES). *J Rafsanjan Univ Med Sci*. 2017;15(9):809–20.
- Cranley MS. Development of a tool for the measurement of maternal attachment during pregnancy. *Nurs Res*. 1981;30(5):281–4.
- Abasi A, Tafazoli M, Esmaeili H. The effect of foetal movement counting on primipara maternal foetal attachment. *J Mazandaran Univ Med Sci*. 2010;20(77):53–60.
- Brockington IF, Oates J, George S, Turner D, Vostanis P, Sullivan M, et al. A screening questionnaire for mother-infant bonding disorders. *Arch Women Ment Health*. 2001;3:133–40.
- Aflakseir A, Jamali S. Relationship between mother-child bonding with postpartum depression among a group of mothers in Shiraz-Iran. *Prev Care Nurs Midwifery J*. 2014;3(2):61–9.
- Dencker A, Taft C, Bergqvist L, Lilja H, Berg M. Childbirth experience questionnaire (CEQ): development and evaluation of a multidimensional instrument. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2010;10:1–8.
- Ghanbari-Homayi S, Dencker A, Fardiazar Z, Jafarabadi MA, Mohammad-Alizadeh-Charandabi S, Meedya S, et al. Validation of the Iranian version of the Childbirth experience questionnaire 2.0. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2019;19:1–10.
- DiPietro JA, Kivlighan KT, Voegtline KM, Costigan KA, Moore GA. It takes two: an antenatal to postnatal RDoC framework for investigating the origins of maternal attachment and mother–infant social communication. *Dev Psychopathol*. 2021;33(5):1539–53.
- Monk C, Leight KL, Fang Y. The relationship between women's attachment style and perinatal mood disturbance: implications for screening and treatment. *Arch Women Ment Health*. 2008;11:117–29.
- Røhder K, Væver MS, Aarestrup AK, Jacobsen RK, Smith-Nielsen J, Schiøtz ML. Maternal-fetal bonding among pregnant women at psychosocial risk: the roles of adult attachment style, prenatal parental reflective functioning, and depressive symptoms. *PLoS ONE*. 2020;15(9):e0239208.
- Rubertsson C, Pallant JF, Sydsjö G, Haines HM, Hildingsson I. Maternal depressive symptoms have a negative impact on prenatal attachment—findings from a Swedish community sample. *J Reproductive Infant Psychol*. 2015;33(2):153–64.
- Nath S, Pearson RM, Moran P, Pawlby S, Molyneaux E, Challacombe FL, et al. The association between prenatal maternal anxiety disorders and postpartum perceived and observed mother-infant relationship quality. *J Anxiety Disord*. 2019;68:102148.
- Zanardo V, Tedde F, Callegger CZ, Sandri A, Giliberti L, Manghina V, et al. Postpartum bonding: the impact of stressful life events during pregnancy. *J Maternal-Fetal Neonatal Med*. 2022;35(25):7849–56.



31. Pazzagli C, Buratta L, Cenci G, Coletti E, Giuliani ML, Mazzeschi C. Does parental reflective functioning mediate the associations between the maternal antenatal and postnatal bond with the child in a community sample? *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2022;19(12):6957.
32. Sacchi C, Miscioscia M, Visentin S, Simonelli A. Maternal–fetal attachment in pregnant Italian women: multidimensional influences and the association with maternal caregiving in the infant's first year of life. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2021;21(1):1–8.
33. Poojari VG, Vasudeva A, Sudha V. Influence of various modes of delivery on mother–infant bonding: a prospective observational study. *Curr Women's Health Reviews*. 2019;15(4):295–300.
34. Oyetunji A, Chandra P. Postpartum stress and infant outcome: a review of current literature. *Psychiatry Res*. 2020;284:112769.
35. Seefeld L, Weise V, Kopp M, Knappe S, Garthus-Niegel S. Birth experience mediates the association between fear of Childbirth and mother–child–bonding up to 14 months postpartum: findings from the prospective cohort study DREAM. *Front Psychiatry*. 2022;12:2567.
36. Gioia MC, Cerasa A, Muggeo VM, Tonin P, Cajiao J, Aloï A, Martino I, Tenuta F, Costabile A, Craig F. The relationship between maternal–fetus attachment and perceived parental bonds in pregnant women: considering a possible mediating role of psychological distress. *Front Psychol*. 2023;13:1095030.
37. Kaur S, Sagar N. Comparative study to assess the maternal and paternal fetal attachment among the expectant mothers and fathers. *Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol*. 2017;6(7):3134–8.
38. Ustunsoz A, Guvenç G, Akyuz A, Ofiaz F. Comparison of maternal–and paternal–fetal attachment in Turkish couples. *Midwifery*. 2010;26(2):e1–e9.
39. Pakseresht S, Rasekh P, Leili EK. Physical health and maternal–fetal attachment among women: planned versus unplanned pregnancy. *Int J Womens Health Reprod Sci*. 2018;6(3):335–41.
40. Hassan NMM, Hassan F. Predictors of maternal fetal attachment among pregnant women. *IOSR J Nurs Health Sci*. 2017;6(1):95–106.
41. Erdemoglu C, Derya YA. The correlation of the gender of the fetus with prenatal attachment and perceived social support level. *Med Sci*. 2018;7(3):499–502.
42. Podvornik N, Velikonja VG, Praper P. Depression and anxiety in women during pregnancy in Slovenia/Depresija in Anksioznost Pri Ženskah Med Nosečnostjo V Sloveniji. *Slovenian J Public Health*. 2015;54(1):45–50.

## Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.